



**CENTRAL TEXAS
Regional Mobility Authority**

AGENDA ITEM #4 SUMMARY

Approve the list of qualified respondents who may respond to a request for detailed proposals to develop the MoPac Improvement Project as a design/build contractor.

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility

Department: Engineering

Associated Costs: Statutory Stipend Requirement of 0.2% of Final Contract Price per unsuccessful shortlisted Proposer

Funding Source: General Fund, Reimbursed with Either Bond Sale Funds or Other Potential Funding Options Under Discussion

Board Action Required: Yes

Description of Matter:

On April 11, 2012, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) soliciting qualifications submittals from teams interested in pursuing the development of the MoPac Improvement Project through a Design/Build Contract. The RFQ was issued on April 13, 2012. Seven Qualifications Submittals were received on May 14, 2012 in response to the RFQ.

A Committee led by the Engineering Manager, Sean Beal, P.E., and composed of the Mobility Authority staff and consultants evaluated the Responses against the criteria provided in the RFQ.

The RFQ Evaluation Committee recommends Board approval of a recommended shortlist of Teams to advance to the next step of the Design/Build Contract procurement process. Following our previous practice, the Teams on the recommended shortlist will be identified at the Board meeting.

Reference documentation:

Draft Resolution

Contact for further information: Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

**GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE
CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY**

RESOLUTION NO. 12-___

**APPROVING THE LIST OF QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS WHO MAY RESPOND TO
A REQUEST FOR DETAILED PROPOSALS TO DEVELOP THE MOPAC
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT AS A DESIGN/BUILD CONTRACTOR.**

WHEREAS, after finding the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the “Mobility Authority”) satisfied all the requirements of Section 228.0111, Transportation Code, the Board on September 29, 2010, enacted Resolution No. 10-86 to exercise the Mobility Authority’s option to develop the MoPac Improvement Project (the “Project”); and

WHEREAS, Subchapter K, Chapter 370, Transportation Code, authorizes the Mobility Authority to use a design-build method to develop the Project; and

WHEREAS, Subchapter A, Article 7, Chapter 4 of the Mobility Authority Policy Code implements applicable state law and establishes the process the Mobility Authority will use to solicit proposals for a design-build contract to develop the Project; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with those procurement policies and state law, on April 11, 2012, the Board, by Resolution No. 12-025, authorized and directed the Executive Director to issue a Request for Qualifications to solicit qualifications submittals from teams interested in pursuing the development of the Project through a design-build contract; and

WHEREAS, on April 13, 2012, the Executive Director issued a “Request for Qualifications for Development of the MoPac Improvement Project Through a Design-Build Contract” (the “RFQ”), with a response deadline of 4:00 p.m. on May 14, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the Mobility Authority received seven responses to the RFQ, each of which complied with and was responsive to the RFQ; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director appointed an RFQ Evaluation Committee to evaluate the responses and to recommend a short-list of teams to participate in the detailed proposal phase of the design-build contract procurement process; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the RFQ and Mobility Authority procurement policies, the Evaluation Committee analyzed and scored each proposal using the criteria and procedure set forth in the RFQ; and

WHEREAS, the RFQ Evaluation Committee has recommended to the Executive Director those teams best qualified to submit a detailed proposal for the Project in response to a request for detailed proposals (“RFDP”) to be issued after a final RFDP is approved and issued; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director recommends to the Board that it approve the short-list of teams identified and recommended by the RFQ Evaluation Committee as listed on Exhibit A to this Resolution.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves the short-list of teams recommended by the Executive Director, as identified and listed on Exhibit A to this Resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board expresses its appreciation to all of the teams and members of the teams that submitted a response to the RFQ.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the 30th day of May, 2012.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Approved:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 12-
Date Passed: 5/30/2012

Exhibit A to Resolution No. 12-_____

Short-List of Teams Qualified to Respond to the RFDP

To Develop the MoPac Improvement Project



- April 11, 2012 Board Meeting motion on Item V
 - Authorized the issuance of a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for a design/build contract to develop the MoPac Improvement Project and designated Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering, as the Mobility Authority's representative for that procurement process and for the duration of the work on the Project
 - This motion passed with a Unanimous Vote



- **April 11, 2012** – Board Authorization to Issue RFQ
- **April 13, 2012** – RFQ Issued
- **April 23, 2012** – RFQ Pre-Submittal Conference
- **May 14, 2012** – Qualification Submittals Received
- **May 30, 2012** – Evaluation Team Recommendation of Shortlist Proposers
- **June – August, 2012** – Industry Review of Draft RFDP
- **September 2012** – Issue Final RFDP
- **December 2012** – Final Proposals Due
- **January 2013** – Best Value Recommendation



A total of 7 responses to the RFQ were received on May 14th:

Entity / Proposer Name	Equity Partners	Lead Design Firm(s)
Archer Western Contractors, LLC	Archer Western	Bridge Farmer Associates
Abrams-Lane Joint Venture	JD Abrams LP / The Lane Construction Corporation	Kellogg Brown & Root Services, Inc. / HDR Engineering
Balfour Beatty Team	Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.	Parson Brinckerhoff, Inc.
Austin-Sinacola Joint Venture	Austin Bridge and Road LP / Mario Sinacola & Sons Excavating, Inc.	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.
CH2M Hill	CH2M Hill	CH2M Hill
DWCo-Sundt	Dan Williams Co. / Sundt Construction, Inc.	Parson Transportation Group, Inc.
SW Infrastructure (SWI)	Texas Sterling Construction Co / Webber, LLS	Michael Baker Jr. Inc.



- **Evaluation Team**

- Pass / Fail review for Responsiveness – Curt Ashmos (LLB&L)
- Pass / Fail review of Financials – Bill Chapman
- Evaluation Team – Sean Beal, P.E., Everett Owen, P.E., Heather Reavey, P.E., John Fenner, P.E.
- Process Observation – Joseph Carrizales, P.E. (TxDOT)

- **Criteria**

- 50% - Team and Personnel Qualifications / Experience
- 50% - Conceptual Project Development Plan and Schedule
- Pass / Fail – Financial Qualifications

- **Grading**

- Evaluated against a pre-defined set of 27 criteria elements
- Each element was weighted such that the maximum combined score = 100 pts.



Scoring Summary

	Team and Personnel Qualifications / Experience	Conceptual Project Development Plan & Schedule	Financial Qualifications	Total Score
	(50 Pts. Max)	(50 Pts. Max)	(Pass / Fail)	(100 Pts. Max)
SOQ 1	35.325	35.663	Pass	70.988
SOQ 2	31.075	35.413	Pass	66.488
SOQ 3	28.744	36.40	Pass	65.144
SOQ 4	28.863	31.888	Pass	60.750
SOQ 5	23.800	34.275	Pass	58.075
SOQ 6	23.725	33.875	Pass	57.600
SOQ 7	23.350	29.925	Pass	53.275



- **Abrams - Lane Joint Venture**
- **CH2MHill**
- **DWCo - Sundt**

Local Presence:

While there was no criteria for use of local firms, 29 of the 35 firms associated with the recommended shortlist teams are local to the Austin area



Entities and Expanded Team Members

Entity Name	Equity Partners / Lead Design Firm (s) / Other Significant Firm(s)
<p>Abrams-Lane Joint Venture</p>	<p><u>Equity Partners</u> JD Abrams LP The Lane Construction Corporation</p> <p><u>Lead Design Firm(s)</u> Kellogg Brown & Root Service, Inc. HDR Engineering, Inc.</p> <p><u>Other Significant Firm(s)</u> Brown & Gay Blanton & Associates Kleinfelder Group Solutions Trans Systems Corporation RTG Arrendondo, Zepeda & Brunz</p>

Recommended Shortlist (cont.)



Entity Name	Equity Partners / Lead Design Firm (s) / Other Significant Firm(s)
CH2M Hill	<p><u>Equity Partners</u> CH2M Hill</p> <p><u>Lead Design Firm(s)</u> CH2M Hill</p> <p><u>Other Significant Firm(s)</u> AIA Engineers Crossnore Group Hicks and Company HVJ Associates LJA Engineering N-Line Traffic Maintenance PaveTex Engineering and Testing Royal Vista, Inc. SAM, Inc.</p>

Recommended Shortlist (cont.)



Entity Name	Equity Partners / Lead Design Firm (s) / Other Significant Firm(s)
<p style="text-align: center;">DWCo - Sundt</p>	<p><u>Equity Partners</u> Dan Williams Co. Sundt Construction, Inc.</p> <p><u>Lead Design Firm(s)</u> Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.</p> <p><u>Other Significant Firm(s)</u> H.W. Lochner, Inc. Concept Development & Planning KGB Texas Maldonado-Burkett ITS Hicks & Company Lamb-Star Engineering Altura Solutions, LP MWM Design Group Terracon McGray & McGray Land Surveyors, Inc Cardino TBE</p>



Abrams - Lane Joint Venture

CH2MHill

DWCo - Sundt



CENTRAL TEXAS
Regional Mobility Authority